IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JUAN DAVILA-BAJANA,)	
Pl	aintiff,)	Civil Action No. 04-253E
V.)	Hon. Sean J. McLaughlin
TIM HOLOHAN, et al.,)	U.S. District Judge
)	Hon. Susan Paradise Baxter
De	efendants.)	Chief U.S. Magistrate Judge
)	ELECTRONICALLY FILED

DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR STAY PENDING PLAINTIFF'S INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL

AND NOW, come the Defendants, Tim Holohan, et al., by and through their attorneys, Mary Beth Buchanan, United States
Attorney for the Western District of Pennsylvania; Paul Kovac,
Assistant United States Attorney for said district; and Douglas
S. Goldring, Assistant General Counsel for Federal Prison
Industries, Inc., and respectfully request that the discovery schedule in this case be stayed pending final disposition of
Plaintiff's Interlocutory Appeal to the Third Circuit. In support of this Motion, Defendants aver as follows:

1. Plaintiff's Complaint was received by the Court in this case on or around September 8, 2004, and was filed on or around January 12, 2005. See Docket Nos. 1, 6. On or around November 14, 2005, Defendants submitted a Motion to Dismiss and Memorandum of Law in Support. On May 9, 2006, the Court issued a Report and Recommendation granting in part and denying in part Defendants' Motion to Dismiss.

- 2. After Objections were filed, the Court issued an Amended Report and Recommendation ("Amended R & R") on July 18, 2006. The Amended R & R granted Defendants' Motion to Dismiss with regard to Plaintiff's Federal Tort Claims Act and Eighth Amendment allegations. The Court denied that portion of the Motion to Dismiss seeking dismissal of the retaliation claim. On August 21, 2006, Judge McLaughlin adopted the Amended R & R.
- 3. On August 23, 2006, this Court issued a Case Management Order setting a discovery schedule on the sole remaining retaliation allegation. Discovery in this case is currently scheduled for completion by November 21, 2006.
- 4. On September 11, 2006, Plaintiff filed an Interlocutory
 Appeal with the United States Court of Appeals for the Third
 Circuit concerning the Eighth Amendment claim that was dismissed.
- 5. Although interlocutory appeals such as this, prior to the entry of final judgment, stand little chance of success on appeal, Defendants still contend that in the interest of judicial economy, the discovery schedule in this case should be stayed. Defendants' discovery in this case will largely consist of taking Plaintiff's deposition and submitting various interrogatories to explore the basis of Plaintiff's retaliation claim. Should the Appeals Court consider Plaintiff's appeal and reverse this Court's decision, Defendants would be forced to take additional discovery on the Eighth Amendment issue and reopen Plaintiff's

deposition. Accordingly, in the interests of judicial economy,

Defendants respectfully request that the current discovery

schedule be stayed. Defendants will notify the Court as soon as

the Appeals Court has disposed of Plaintiff's appeal.

A proposed Order is attached.

Respectfully submitted,

MARY BETH BUCHANAN United States Attorney

By: s/Paul D. Kovac

PAUL D. KOVAC

Assistant U.S. Attorney

Western District of Pennsylvania U.S. Post Office & Courthouse 700 Grant Street, Suite 4000

Pittsburgh, PA 15219

(412) 894-7489

OF COUNSEL: DOUGLAS S. GOLDRING

Assistant General Counsel

Federal Prison Industries (UNICOR) 400 First Street, NW, 8th Floor

Washington, DC 20534

Dated: September 18, 2006

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 18th day of September, 2006, I electronically filed and mailed via first-class mail a true and correct copy of **DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR STAY PENDING PLAINTIFF'S**INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL to the following:

Mr. Juan Davila-Bajana Plaintiff <u>Pro Se</u> Reg. No. 47580-053 FCI-McKean P.O. Box 8000 Bradford, PA 16701

s/Paul D. Kovac
PAUL D. KOVAC
Assistant U.S. Attorney